


The Baptist Distinctives Series Number 24



Distinctive Principles of Baptists

J. M. Pendleton



Quod scriptura, non iubet velat

The Latin translates, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden:”

On the Cover: Baptists rejoice to hold in common with other evangelicals the main principles of the orthodox Christian faith. However, there are points of difference and these differences are significant. In fact, because these differences arise out of God’s revealed will, they are of vital importance. Hence, the barriers of separation between Baptists and others can hardly be considered a trifling matter. To suppose that Baptists are kept apart solely by their views on Baptism or the Lord’s Supper is a regrettable misunderstanding. Baptists hold views which distinguish them from Catholics, Congregationalists, Episcopalians, Lutherans, Methodists, Pentecostals, and Presbyterians, and the differences are so great as not only to justify, but to demand, the separate denominational existence of Baptists. Some people think Baptists ought not teach and emphasize their differences but as E.J. Forrester stated in 1893, “Any denomination that has views which justify its separate existence, is bound to promulgate those views. If those views are of sufficient importance to justify a separate existence, they are important enough to create a duty for their promulgation ... the very same reasons which justify the separate existence of any denomination make it the duty of that denomination to teach the distinctive doctrines upon which its separate existence rests.” If Baptists have a right to a separate denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which their separate life cannot be justified or maintained.

Many among today’s professing Baptists have an agenda to revise the Baptist distinctives and redefine what it means to be a Baptist. Others don’t understand why it even matters. The books being reproduced in the *Baptist Distinctives Series* are republished in order that Baptists from the past may state, explain and defend the primary Baptist distinctives as they understood them. It is hoped that this Series will provide a more thorough historical perspective on what it means to be distinctively Baptist.

The Lord Jesus Christ asked, “*And why call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which I say?*” (Luke 6:46). The immediate context surrounding this question explains what it means to be a true disciple of Christ. Addressing the same issue, Christ’s question is meant to show that a confession of discipleship to the Lord Jesus Christ is inconsistent and untrue if it is not accompanied with a corresponding submission to His authoritative commands. Christ’s question teaches us that a true recognition of His authority as Lord inevitably includes a submission to the authority of His Word. Hence, with this question Christ has made it forever impossible to separate His authority as King from the authority of His Word. These two principles—the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word—are the two most fundamental Baptist distinctives. The first gives rise to the second and out of these two all the other Baptist distinctives emanate. As F.M. Jans wrote in 1894, “Loyalty to Christ as King, manifesting itself in a constant and unswerving obedience to His will as revealed in His written Word, is the real source of all the Baptist distinctives:” In the search for the *primary* Baptist distinctive many have settled on the Lordship of Christ as the most basic distinctive. Strangely, in doing this, some have attempted to separate Christ’s Lordship from the authority of Scripture, as if you could embrace Christ’s authority without submitting to what He commanded. However, while Christ’s Lordship and Kingly authority can be isolated and considered essentially for discussion’s sake, we see from Christ’s own words in Luke 6:46 that His Lordship is really inseparable from His Word and, with regard to real Christian discipleship, there can be no practical submission to the one without a practical submission to the other.

In the symbol above the Kingly Crown and the Open Bible represent the inseparable truths of Christ’s Kingly and Biblical authority. The Crown and Bible graphics are supplemented by three Bible verses (Ecclesiastes 8:4, Matthew 28:18-20, and Luke 6:46) that reiterate and reinforce the inextricable connection between the authority of Christ as King and the authority of His Word. The truths symbolized by these components are further emphasized by the Latin quotation - *quod scriptura, non iubet vetat*— *i.e.*, “What is not commanded in scripture, is forbidden.” This Latin quote has been considered historically as a summary statement of the regulative principle of Scripture. Together these various symbolic components converge to exhibit the two most foundational Baptist Distinctives out of which all the other Baptist Distinctives arise. Consequently, we have chosen this composite symbol as a logo to represent the primary truths set forth in the *Baptist Distinctives Series*.

**DISTINCTIVE PRINCIPLES
OF
BAPTISTS**



JAMES MADISON PENDLETON
(1811-1891)

DISTINCTIVE PRINCIPLES
OF
BAPTISTS

BY
J. M. PENDLETON



With a Biographical Sketch of the Author by John Franklin Jones



PHILADELPHIA:
AMERICAN BAPTIST PUBLICATION SOCIETY
1420 CHESTNUT STREET.
1882



The Baptist Standard Bearer, Inc.

NUMBER ONE IRON OAKS DRIVE • PARIS, ARKANSAS 72855

Thou hast given a *standard* to them that fear thee;
that it may be displayed because of the truth.

-- *Psalm 60:4*

Reprinted 2006

by

THE BAPTIST STANDARD BEARER, INC.

No. 1 Iron Oaks Drive
Paris, Arkansas 72855
(479) 963-3831



THE WALDENSIAN EMBLEM

lux lucet in tenebris

“The Light Shineth in the Darkness”

ISBN# 1579785441

TO
THE BAPTIST BROTHERHOOD.

THIS VOLUME

(WRITTEN IN ADVOCACY OF PRINCIPLES WHICH DISTINGUISH
THEM FROM OTHER RELIGIOUS DENOMINATIONS)

IS

Respectfully and Affectionately Dedicated

BY

THE AUTHOR.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

	PAGE
BAPTISTS REGARD THE BAPTISM OF UNCONSCIOUS INFANTS AS UNSCRIPTURAL, AND INSIST ON THE BAPTISM OF BELIEVERS IN CHRIST; AND OF BELIEVERS ALONE.....	11

SECTION I.

The account given of John's baptism and of the personal ministry of Christ affords no justification of infant baptism.....	13
--	----

SECTION II.

The Commission given by the Saviour to his apostles just before his ascension to heaven furnishes no plea for infant baptism.....	17
---	----

SECTION III.

There is no instance of infant baptism on the day of Pentecost, nor in Samaria under the preaching of Philip.....	21
---	----

CONTENTS.

SECTION IV.

	PAGE
The argument from household baptisms in favor of infant baptism is invalid	25

SECTION V.

Certain passages in the New Testament supposed by some Pedobaptists to refer to infant baptism shown to have no such reference.....	30
---	----

SECTION VI.

The allusions to baptism in the Apostolic Epistles forbid the supposition that infants were baptized.....	33
---	----

SECTION VII.

The argument from the supposed identity of the Jewish Commonwealth and the gospel church of no force.....	39
---	----

SECTION VIII.

The argument from circumcision fails.....	63
---	----

SECTION IX.

The historical argument examined.....	72
---------------------------------------	----

SECTION X.

Objections to infant baptism.....	80
-----------------------------------	----

CHAPTER II.

BAPTISTS CONSIDER THE IMMERSION IN WATER OF A BELIEVER IN CHRIST ESSENTIAL TO BAPTISM—SO ESSENTIAL THAT WITHOUT IT THERE IS NO BAPTISM.....	90
---	----

CONTENTS.

7

SECTION I.

	PAGE
Greek lexicons give "immerse," "dip," or "plunge" as the primary and ordinary meaning of <i>baptizo</i>	90

SECTION II.

Distinguished Pedobaptist scholars and theologians admit that <i>baptizo</i> means "to immerse".....	98
--	----

SECTION III.

The classical usage of <i>baptizo</i> establishes the position of Baptists.....	104
---	-----

SECTION IV.

The design of baptism furnishes an argument in favor of the position of Baptists.....	113
---	-----

SECTION V.

The places selected for the administration of baptism, and circumstances attending its administration, as referred to in the New Testament, supply an additional argument in proof of the position of Baptists.....	121
---	-----

SECTION VI.

History bears testimony to the practice of immersion, except in cases of sickness and urgent necessity, for more than thirteen hundred years.....	135
---	-----

SECTION VII.

Pedobaptist objections answered.....	147
--------------------------------------	-----

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER III.

	PAGE
BAPTISTS HOLD THAT, ACCORDING TO THE SCRIPTURAL ORDER, PERSONS MUST COME FIRST TO CHRIST, AND THEN TO THE CHURCH AND ITS ORDINANCES.....	159

SECTION I.

The doctrine of baptismal regeneration reverses this order.....	160
---	-----

SECTION II.

The practice of infant baptism reverses this order.....	164
---	-----

CHAPTER IV.

BAPTISTS BELIEVE THAT A SCRIPTURAL CHURCH IS A LOCAL CONGREGATION OF BAPTIZED BELIEVERS INDEPENDENT, UNDER CHRIST, OF THE STATE AND OF EVERY OTHER CHURCH, HAVING IN ITSELF AUTHORITY TO DO WHATEVER A CHURCH CAN OF RIGHT DO.....	169
--	-----

SECTION I.

A scriptural church a local congregation of baptized believers.....	170
---	-----

SECTION II.

The Lord's Supper observed by local churches.....	174
---	-----

SECTION III.

Definition of church independence.....	182
--	-----

SECTION IV.

The churches of the New Testament received, excluded, and restored members.....	190
---	-----

CONTENTS. 9

SECTION V.

	PAGE
The churches of the New Testament appointed their officers..	195

SECTION VI.

Church action is final.....	205
-----------------------------	-----

SECTION VII.

Superior advantages of Independency.....	211
--	-----

CONCLUSION.....	224
-----------------	-----

INDEX.....	233
------------	-----

INDEX OF SCRIPTURES.....	237
--------------------------	-----

“REASONS WHY BAPTISTS OUGHT TO TEACH THEIR DISTINCTIVE VIEWS . . . First, *it is a duty we owe to ourselves.* We must teach these views in order to be consistent in holding them. Because of these we stand apart from other Christians, in separate organizations. . . We have no right thus to stand apart unless the matters of difference have real importance; and if they are really important, we certainly ought to teach them.”

JOHN A. BROADUS

The Duty of Baptists To Teach Their Distinctive Views.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1881).

“No religious denomination has a moral right to a separate existence unless it differs essentially from others. Ecclesiastical differences ought always to spring from profound doctrinal differences. *To divide Christians, except for reasons of gravest import, is criminal schism.* Separate religious denominations are justifiable only for matters of conscience growing out of clear scriptural precept.”

J. L. M. CURRY

A Baptist Church Radically Different From Paedobaptist Churches.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1889).


“There is something distinctive in the principles of Baptists. They differ from all other denominations; and the difference is so great as not only to justify, but to demand, their separate existence as a people . . . What distinctive mission have the Baptists, if this is not their mission? - to present the truth in love on the matters wherein they differ from Pedobaptists. What is there but this that justifies their separate denominational existence and saves them from the reproach of being schismatics? *If they have a right to denominational life, it is their duty to propagate their distinctive principles, without which that life cannot be justified or maintained.*”

J. M. PENDLETON


Distinctive Principles of Baptists.
(Philadelphia: American Baptist Publication Society, 1882).

The Baptist Standard Bearer, Incorporated is a republication society organized in 1984, and is recognized as a nonprofit, tax-exempt charitable organization. It was founded for the primary purpose of republication and preservation of materials reflecting the Baptist heritage.

ISBN 1-57978-544-1



90000>



9 781579 785444